An electrochemical tool for characterizing a soft lead sample

A.F. Romero, J. Valenciano, H. Fricke ELBC Milan, 2024 September 16th – 19th



Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Goals
- 3. Action plan
- 4. Experimental conditions
- 5. Results
- 6. Conclusions



Introduction

Secondary reactions in a Lead-Acid Battery (LAB)







1. Predict and detect the potential water consumption of a LAB by using an electrochemical tool.

- a) Find similar trends between the results obtained by using the new tool (study of the HER rate) and the results found in a water consumption test.
- b) Obtain traceability between the soft lead samples and their corresponding NAMs used for building negative plates.



Action plan





Experimental conditions

1st Stage – Electrochemical tool





Fig. 4. Experimental conditions during the CV sutdy of the HER rate.





Experimental conditions

2nd Stage – Electrical testing

Table 1. NAM recipe for negative plates.	
NAM recipe	
Raw material	Percentage vs PbO (%)
Expander	< 0.35
Carbon Black	< 0.20

Table 2. Experimental conditions for electical testing.



Flooded 2 V/ 22 Ah cell

Assembly: 3 positive plates : 2 negative plates Cell sealing: Epoxy resin Cap: Vapor condenser



Fig. 5. Example of a flooded 2 V/ 22 Ah cell.



Experimental conditions

Variables

Table 3. Comparison among the chemical analyses of soft lead samples and their corresponding PbOs and Dry Unformed (DUF) NAMs.

Purity % **IMPURITIES** (ppm) REFERENCE Ag Bi Sb As Cr Cu Ni Cd Co Mn Zn Fe Se Те Pb 18.7 74.6 < 0.5 <0.5 0.6 0.8 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 < 0.5 0.8 < 0.5 0.5 Lower PbO 15.5 63.8 2.2 < 0.5 0.6 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 <0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 Α purity **DUF NAM** 13.6 57.1 2.7 < 0.5 0.6 0.6 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 Pb 78.4 < 0.5 17.2 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.7 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Lower PbO В 16.5 69.0 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.8 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 purity DUF NAM 14.7 63.0 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 3.0 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 1.1 < 0.5 < 0.5 24.3 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 <0.5 < 0.5 0.5 Pb 3.1 0.6 0.5 < 0.5 1.8 Higher 15.7 0.6 PbO 2.9 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 purity **DUF NAM** 3.4 13.0 0.6 < 0.5 0.6 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 45.2 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.8 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Pb 10.4 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.7 Higher 40.4 PbO 9.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 D purity **DUF NAM** 8.5 37.0 <0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.6 0.8 < 0.5 < 0.5





Results 1st Stage – Electrochemical tool / CV study



CV study – 10th scan

Fig. 6. 10th CVs obtained from soft lead samples.



2^{nd} Stage – Electrical testing / C_{20}



Fig. 7. Results obtained form the C₂₀ test.



2nd Stage – Electrical testing / Water consumption

Because of cell design issues related to leak points in the seal \rightarrow The mass loss produced after each WC unit could not be used as a parameter \rightarrow It was replaced by electrical parameters:

- Specific accumulated charge (**Qacc**) (AhkgAh⁻¹)
- Specific end current (EC) (AkgAh⁻¹)

Calculation:

- **1.** Qacc (AhkgAh⁻¹): Total charge (Ah) accumulated during the WC unit \rightarrow Normalized by the specific C₂₀ (Ahkg⁻¹).
- **2.** EC (AkgAh⁻¹): End current (A) collected at the end of the WC unit \rightarrow Normalized by the specific C₂₀ (Ahkg⁻¹).



Electrochemical tool (HER rate study) vs Electrical testing (WC test)



Fig. 8. Comparison of HER rates obtained from soft lead samples.

Fig. 9. Results obtained from the WC test.



Electrochemical tool (HER rate study) vs Electrical testing (WC test)

HER rateWC rate E_0 (HER) rate (V)Qacc rate (AhkgAh⁻¹)C > B > A >> D $B > C >> A \approx D$ j_0 (HER) rate (Acm⁻²)EC rate (AkgAh⁻¹)D >> C > A > B $C > B >> A \approx D$



Conclusions

1. According to the results of the CV study and the WC test \rightarrow High accuracy of the electrochemical tool:

a) B and C samples showed the highest rates of HER and WC.

2. The WC was influenced by the effect of the two electrochemical parameters $j_0(HER)$ and $E_0(HER)$:

a) A and D samples showed significant differences in the CV study, but resulted in a similar WC rate.

b) B sample showed one of the highest WC rate because the low j_0 (HER) value was compensated by the E_0 (HER) value.



Thank you

